Bioactive Terpenoids from Octocorallia. 4. Three New Cembrane-Type Diterpenoids from the Soft Coral *Lobophytum schoedei*

Koji Yamada, Kenjiro Ryu, Tomofumi Miyamoto, and Ryuichi Higuchi*

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyushu University, Maidashi 3-1-1, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-82, Japan

Received November 20, 1996[®]

Three new cembrane-type diterpenoids, lobophynins A (1), B (2), and C (3), were isolated from the soft coral *Lobophytum schoedei*. Their structures and relative stereochemistries were determined by extensive 2D NMR studies. Lobophynin C (3) has ichthyotoxicity against the mosquito fish, *Oryzias latipes*, and lethal activity toward brine shrimps.

The soft corals have been shown to contain a variety of secondary metabolites, mainly diterpenoids, sesquiterpenoids, and steroids.¹ Many of these constituents are structurally unique and exhibit interesting biological activities. Recently, a number of diterpenoids were isolated from the Japanese soft corals.² As part of our search for biologically active constituents, we also conducted the isolation and structure elucidation of the biologically active diterpenoids from some Japanese soft corals and reported the structures of two hemolytic diterpenoids, litophytols A and B, isolated from the soft coral *Litophyton* sp.,³ an ichthyotoxic diterpenoid, deoxyxeniolide B, isolated from the soft coral Xenia elongata,⁴ and a diterpenoid possessing toxicity to brine shrimp, cladiellaperoxide, isolated from the soft coral Cladiella sphaeroides.⁵ In the continuing search for biologically active constituents of other animals, we found that extracts of a soft coral Lobophytum schoedei (Alcyoniidae) collected from the Nichinan Coast in the Miyazaki Prefecture of Japan showed ichthyotoxicity to the mosquito fish, Oryzias latipes, and lethality to brine shrimps, Artemia salina, L. Bioassay-directed fractionation of the extracts has led to the isolation of three new compounds, designated lobophynins A (1), B (2), and C (3). We report herein the isolation and structure elucidation of these compounds on the basis of their spectral properties.

The *n*-hexane- and EtOAc-soluble parts of the MeOH/ CHCl₃ extract obtained from the *L. schoedei* (9.2 kg) were subjected to Sephadex LH-20 to give five fractions. The fourth fraction was chromatographed on a silica gel column to furnish fractions containing diterpenoids that showed ichthyotoxicity and lethality to brine shrimps with an LC_{100} value of 30 ppm concentration, respectively. These fractions were purified by reversed-phase column chromatography by HPLC (ODS column) to give lobophynins A (**1**), B (**2**), and C (**3**) as a part of the active constituents.

Lobophynin A (1) was isolated as a colorless oil. The molecular formula of $C_{22}H_{34}O_3$ was determined by HR positive-ion FAB mass spectrometry. The IR spectrum of 1 showed signals due to carbon–carbon double bonds (3010 and 910 cm⁻¹) and ester (1730 and 1240 cm⁻¹) groups. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of 1 contained signals for two secondary methyls, two tertiary methyls, one



acetoxymethyl, and four olefinic protons (Table 1). The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of **1** suggested the presence of six methylene carbons, one methine carbon, one oxygenbearing methylene carbon, two oxygen-bearing quaternary carbons, six olefinic carbons, and one ester carbon (Table 2). These data were confirmed by ${}^{1}H-{}^{13}C$ COSY (HETCOR) correlations between each proton and carbon as shown in Table 3. The ¹H-¹H COSY correlations of 1 (Table 3) clearly indicated the presence of four partial structures, -CH₂CH₂-, -CH(CH₃)₂, -CH=CH-, and $-CH_2CH_2CH=C(CH_3)CH_2CH_2CH=C < \text{ in } 1.$ In addition, the presence of a $>C(CH_3)O-$ unit was also revealed by the above-mentioned facts and the value of the chemical shift in the ¹H-NMR spectrum. Connectivities of these partial structures were determined by detailed analysis of the 1H-13C long-range COSY (COLOC) spectrum of **1**. COLOC correlations of $\delta_{\rm C}$ 78.6 (C-1) to $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.85 (H-16) and 5.72 (H-13) suggested the connectivities between C-1 and C-14 and between C-1 and C-15. COLOC correlations of $\delta_{\rm C}$ 61.2 (C-20) to $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.72 (H-13) and NOESY correlations between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.59 (H-11) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.91 (H-14) in the NOESY spectrum suggested the connectivities between C-12 and C-13 and between C-12 and C-20. By considering the previously mentioned data, the molecular formula, and the degrees of unsaturation, the planar structure of 1 was determined to be a cembrane-type diterpenoid. The location of the acetoxyl group at the C-20 position was indicated by the values of chemical shifts in the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra (Tables 1 and 2) and the NOESY correlations between the $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.07 (acetoxymethyl) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.57, 4.66 (H-20). The geometry between C-13 and C-14 was

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: +81-92-642-6635. Fax: +81-92-642-6639. E-mail: higuchi@shokka.phar.kyushuu.ac.jp.

[®] Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, July 1, 1997.

Table 1. ¹H-NMR Data of Lobophynins A (1), B (2), and C (3) in CDCl₃^a

position	1	2	3
1			
2a	2.03 (1H, m)	5.59 (1H, dt, J = 4.8, 10.0 Hz)	5.53 (1H, m)
2b	2.28 (1H, m)		
3a	1.29 (1H, m)	5.26 (1H, dd, J = 1.3, 10.0 Hz)	5.28 (1H, dd, J = 1.0, 9.9 Hz)
3b	1.87 (1H, m)		
4			
5a	1.63 (1H, m)	2.34 (2H, dd, J = 3.8, 10.1 Hz)	1.73 (1H, m)
5b	2.00 (1H, m)		2.37 (1H, dt, J = 5.1, 11.8 Hz)
6a	2.04 (1H, m)	1.68 (2H, m)	1.85 (1H, m)
6b	2.27 (1H, m)		2.18 (1H, m)
7	5.24 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> = 3.4, 8.9 Hz)	2.70 (1H, dd, J = 10.1, 12.8 Hz)	6.73 (1H, dd, $J = 6.7$, 10.1 Hz)
8			
9a	1.65 (1H, m)	0.99 (1H, dt, J = 3.4, 16.9 Hz)	1.73 (1H, m)
9b	1.86 (1H, td, $J = 3.4$, 14.2 Hz)	2.12 (1H, ddd, $J = 3.4, 5.1, 16.9$ Hz)	2.28 (1H, m)
10a	2.20 (1H, m)	2.23 (1H, ddd, J = 3.4, 6.1, 15.2 Hz)	1.61 (1H, m)
10b	2.48 (1H, dq, $J = 7.5$, 14.2 Hz)	2.30 (1H, m)	1.90 (1H, m)
11	5.59 (1H, t, <i>J</i> = 7.5 Hz)	5.11 (1H, ddd, $J = 1.0, 6.1, 10.1$ Hz)	2.59 (1H, dd, $J = 1.7$, 8.6 Hz)
12			
13a	5.72 (1H, d, J = 15.5 Hz)	1.90 (1H, dd, $J = 4.8$, 10.4 Hz)	0.89 (1H, dt, J = 3.4, 7.8 Hz)
13b		1.94 (1H, dd, $J = 5.4$, 10.4 Hz)	2.19 (1H, m)
14a	5.91 (1H, d, $J = 15.5$ Hz)	1.75 (1H, m)	1.73 (1H, m)
14b		2.65 (1H, dd, $J = 7.8$, 11.8 Hz)	2.14 (1H, m)
15	1.60 (1H, q, $J = 6.6$ Hz)		
16a	0.85 (3H, d, $J = 6.6$ Hz)	4.62 (1H, dd, $J = 2.4, 5.6$)	4.47 (1H, dd, $J = 3.3$, 11.8 Hz)
16b		4.64 (1H, dd, $J = 2.4$, 5.6 Hz)	4.57 (1H, ddd, $J = 1.0$, 5.9, 11.8 Hz)
17	0.85 (3H, d, $J = 6.6$ Hz)	4.68 (2H, d, $J = 1.0$ Hz)	1.70 (3H, s)
18	1.33 (3H, s)	1.83 (3H, d, $J = 1.3$ Hz)	1.79 (3H, s)
19	1.67 (3H, s)	1.27 (3H, s)	
20a	4.57 (1H, d, $J = 12.3$ Hz)	1.60 (3H, d, $J = 1.0$ Hz))	1.28 (3H, s)
20b	4.66 (1H, d, $J = 12.3$ Hz)		
OAc	2.07 (3H, s)	2.07 (3H, s)	
OMe			3.76 (3H, s)

^a Spectra were recorded at 23 °C. Chemical shifts were given in ppm.

Table 2. ¹³C-NMR Data of Lobophynins A (1), B (2), C (3), Sarcophytoxide (4), and Isosarcophytoxide (5) in CDCl₃

Table 3. ¹H-NMR Data, ¹H $^{-1}$ H COSY, ¹H $^{-13}$ C COSY, and COLOC Correlations for Lobophynin A (1)

1 5	.,,	1	y	<u> </u>	
position	1 ^a	2 ^a	3 ^a	4 ^b	5 ^c
1	78.6 (s)	127.3 (s)	132.4 (s)	133.5	132.7
2	24.1 (t)	83.8 (d)	83.3 (d)	83.8	84.6
3	23.3 (t)	125.2 (d)	127.4 (d)	126.4	125.5
4	73.9 (s)	140.3 (s)	139.5 (s)	139.2	140.8
5	45.0 (t)	37.7 (t)	38.0 (t)	37.6	39.7
6	35.4 (t)	25.3 (t)	25.6 (t) ^d	25.4	24.6
7	126.3 (d)	61.9 (d)	141.6 (d)	61.9	125.5
8	136.8 (s)	59.8 (s)	131.8 (s)	59.8	133.1
9	33.2 (t)	39.8 (t)	25.5 (t) ^d	39.7	37.0
10	36.2 (t)	23.6 (t)	22.9 (t)	23.5	24.2
11	134.3 (d)	124.0 (d)	62.9 (d)	123.7	61.2
12	131.6 (s)	136.4 (s)	61.4 (s)	136.7	60.7
13	129.9 (d)	36.7 (t)	38.6 (t)	36.7	35.4
14	137.4 (d)	26.4 (t)	25.9 (t) ^d	26.0	20.4
15	38.9 (d)	139.0 (s)	129.4 (s)	127.5	128.4
16	16.6 (q)	75.8 (t)	78.1 (t)	78.4	78.3
17	17.7 (q)	58.1 (t)	9.9 (q)	10.1	10.2
18	29.6 (q)	15.7 (q)	14.8 (q)	15.6	15.1
19	14.9 (q)	16.9 (q)	167.9 (s)	17.0	15.1
20	61.2 (t)	15.2 (q)	16.7 (q)	15.2	7.7
OAc	21.0 (q)	20.8 (q)			
	170.1 (s)	170.9 (s)			
OMe		.,	51.8 (q)		

^{*a*} Spectra were aquired at 23 °C at 67.8 MHz. Chemical shifts were given in ppm. Multiplicity was given in DEPT. ^{*b*} These $\delta_{\rm C}$ values were cited from ref 6. ^{*c*} These $\delta_{\rm C}$ values were cited from ref 7. ^{*d*} Signals may be interchanged.

determined by the value of the coupling constant $J_{13,14}$ = 15.5 Hz. The chemical shift of the C-19 methyl carbon ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.9) suggested a 7*E*-geometry. In addition, the NOESY cross peaks between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.03 (H-2a) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.91 (H-14), between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.28 (H-2b) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.60 (H-15), between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.29 (H-3a) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.27 (H-6b), between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.27 (H-6b) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.67 (19-CH₃), between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.72 (H-13) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.85 (16-CH₃), and between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.85 (17-CH₃) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.33 (18-CH₃) were observed, respectively. The

		COSY correlations		
	^{1}H	1H-13C		
position	$(\delta_{\rm H})$	$^{1}\mathrm{H}^{-1}\mathrm{H}$	$(\delta_{\rm C})$	COLOC
1				
2a	2.03	H-2b	24.1	
2b	2.28	H-2a, H-3a, H-3b	24.1	
3a	1.29	H-2b, H-3b	23.3	
3b	1.87	H-2b, H-3a	23.3	
4				
5a	1.63	H-5b, H-6a	45.0	C-20
5b	2.00	H-5a	45.0	
6a	2.04	H-5a, H-6b	35.4	
6b	2.27	H-6a, H-7	35.4	
7	5.24	H-6b	126.3	
8				
9a	1.65	H-9b, H-10a	33.2	
9b	1.86	H-9a, H-10b	33.2	
10a	2.20	H-9a, H-10b, H-11	36.2	
10b	2.48	H-9b, H-10a, H-11	36.2	
11	5.59	H-10a, H-10b	134.3	
12				
13	5.72	H-14	129.9	C-1, C-20
14	5.91	H-13	137.4	
15	1.60	H-16, H-17	38.9	
16	0.85	H-15	16.6	C-1, C-15, C-17
17	0.85	H-15	17.7	C-1, C-15, C-16
18	1.33		29.6	C-4, C-5
19	1.67		14.9	C-7, C-8, C-9
20a	4.57	H-20b	61.2	
20b	4.66	H-20a	61.2	
OAc	2.07		21.0	C-1' (OAc)

cisoid geometry (from C-11 to C-14) was determined by NOESY correlations between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.59 (H-11) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.91 (H-14) and dreiding stereomodel based on the above-mentioned NOESY correlations. The relative stereo-chemistry of lobophynin A (1) was thus determined as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. NOESY correlations for 1.



Figure 2. NOESY correlations for 2.

Lobophynin B (2) was isolated as a colorless oil. HR positive-ion FAB mass spectrometry of 2 indicated a molecular formula of C₂₂H₃₂O₄. Compound 2 showed signals due to carbon-carbon double bonds (3040 and 910 cm^{-1}) and ester (1740 and 1240 cm⁻¹) groups in the IR spectrum. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of 2 resembled those of sarcophytoxide (4) obtained from another soft coral Sarcophyton sp.,6 except for the signals ascribable to an additional acetoxyl group [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.07 (3H, s), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 20.8, 170.9] and oxygen-bearing methylene [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.68 (2H, d, J = 1.0 Hz), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 58.1] (Tables 1 and 2). The position of the acetoxyl group at the C-17 was revealed by the COLOC correlation between the ¹Hsignal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.68 (17-CH₂) and the ¹³C-signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 139.0 (C-15). The chemical shifts of the C-18 and C-20 methyl carbons ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 15.7 and 15.2, respectively) suggested 3*E*and 11E-geometries. The relative stereochemistry of **2** was confirmed on the basis of a NOESY experiment as shown in Figure 2.

Lobophynin C (3) was isolated as a colorless oil. The molecular formula of C21H30O4 was determined by HR positive-ion FAB mass spectrometry. The IR spectrum of 3 displayed absorption bands for carbon-carbon double bonds (3030 and 930 cm^{-1}) and ester (1710 and 1240 cm⁻¹) groups. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of **3** resembled those of isosarcophytoxide (5) obtained from another soft coral Sarcophyton sp.7 except for resonances due to the ester carbonyl carbon [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 167.9] and methoxy group [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.76 (3H, s), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 51.8] (Tables 1 and 2). These data indicated that 3 was the carboxylic acid methyl ester derivative of 5. The location of the methyl ester group at the C-8 position was indicated by the COLOC correlations between the ¹H-signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.28 (H-9) and the ¹³C-signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 131.8 and 167.9 (C-8, 19, respectively). The location was also suggested by the fact that the ¹H-signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.73 of H-7 in **3** shifted to a lower field than that of 5. The chemical shift of the C-18 methyl carbon ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.8) suggested a 3*E*-geometry,



Figure 3. NOESY correlations for 3.

whereas 7*E*-geometry was assigned by the chemical shift of H-7.⁸ Furthermore, the NOESY correlations between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.53 (H-2) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.79 (18-CH₃), between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.28 (H-3) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.37 (H-5b), between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.28 (H-3) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.59 (H-11), between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.85 (H-6a) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.79 (18-CH₃), between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.59 (H-11) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.19 (H-13b), and between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.79 (18-CH₃) and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.28 (20-CH₃) and suggested the relative stereochemistry of **3** as shown in Figure 3.

Lobophynin C (3) displayed ichthyotoxicity with an LC_{100} value of 30 ppm and exhibited toxicity with an LC_{50} value of 22.5 ppm in the brine shrimp lethality bioassay. Lobophynins A (1) and B (2) showed lethality to brine shrimp at a concentration of 30 ppm with 20% and 25% lethal rates, respectively. The ichthyotoxicities of compounds 1 and 2 could not be examined, but both fractions containing these compounds similarly showed ichthyotoxicity at a concentration of 30 ppm with 100% lethal rate.

A large number of cembrane-type diterpenoids have been isolated from the soft corals.¹ Many of them exhibit biological activities, e.g., cytotoxicity, inhibition of cholinesterase,⁹ Ca-antagonistic action,¹⁰ and so on. In particular, the ichthyotoxic diterpenoids sarcophine¹¹ and lobolide¹² that have been isolated from the soft corals *Sarcophytum glaucum* and *Lobophytum* sp., respectively, are believed to play a role in the protective mechanism of the corals against predators. Consequently, it is thought that lobophynins A (1), B (2), and C (3) may also play the same role.

Lobophynin A (1) is unique in that it has ether bonds between C-1 and C-4 and an acetoxyl group at C-20, while lobophynin B (2) is an unusual dihydrofuran-type cembranoid with an acetoxyl group at C-17.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Spectra were recorded using the following instruments: specific optical rotations, JASCO DIP-370 digital polarimeter; IR, JASCO IR-700 infrared spectrophotometer. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra were measured at 270 and 67.8 MHz, respectively, with a JEOL GX-270 spectrometer. Chemical shifts were given on a δ (ppm) scale with TMS as an internal standard (s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; br, broad). FABMS/HR positive FAB mass spectra were recorded using a JEOL DX-300 (6 kV, xenon atom beam) with JMA-3500 data system, and the spectra were measured in CHCl₃/m-NBA (mnitrobenzyl alcohol) solution. The EIMS spectrum was recorded using a JEOL JMS-DX-300 with JMA-3500 data system, an accelerating potential of 3 kV, ionizing potential of 30 eV, and sample temperature of 150-200 °C. Column chromatography was performed with Sepha**Animal Material.** The soft coral *L. schoedei* was collected on a coral reef off Nangou-cho (Miyazaki Prefecture, Japan) in May 1992 at a depth of 2–3 m and identified as *L. schoedei* Moser, 1919 by Mr. Yukimitsu Imahara. A voucher specimen (No. WMNH-94-INV-12) is on deposit at the Wakayama Prefectural Museum of Natural History (Wakayama, Japan).

Bioassays. The ichthyotoxicity assay was conducted using the mosquito fish, *O. latipes.* The extracts and crude fractions were assayed at 30 ppm by dissolution in 0.5 mL of EtOH and then diluted to 50 mL with distilled H₂O. Control tests were carried out with each test run. The toxicity was evaluated for producing lethality. The brine shrimp, *A. salina*, L, lethality assay was performed according to standard protocols.^{13,14} The crude extracts and fractions were assayed at 30 ppm by dissolution in 200 μ L of DMSO and then diluted to 2 mL with filtered sea water. LC₅₀ values, in ppm, were determined only for lobophynin C (**3**).

Extraction and Isolation. Wet specimens (9.2 kg) were homogenized with MeOH (8.7 L) and left at room temperature for a few hours. After filtration, the residue was extracted with MeOH/CHCl₃ (1:1). These MeOH and MeOH/CHCl₃ extracts were combined and concentrated in vacuo to an aqueous suspension (2650 mL). The obtained suspension was extracted in succession with *n*-hexane (800 mL \times 3) and EtOAc (400 $mL \times 3$). The organic layers were subsequently dried separately over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and evaporated to drvness. The residues from both fractions (114.6 g and 9.9 g, respectively) showed ichthyotoxicity and lethality to brine shrimps and were combined and loaded onto a Sephadex LH-20 (750 g dry weight with 115 cm \times 6.5 cm column) column and eluted with MeOH/CHCl₃ (1:1) to yield five fractions: fraction 1 (7.0 g), 2 (25.6 g), 3 (35.8 g), 4 (30.8 g), and 5 (4.0 g). The fourth fraction was chromatographed on a silica gel column. Elution was performed with *n*-hexane/acetone $(98:2 \rightarrow 0:100)$ to yield 16 fractions (fractions 6-21). Fraction 12 (850 mg) was chromatographed on Cosmosil 5C18 using MeOH-H₂O ($80\% \rightarrow 100\%$) as the eluent to give 12 fractions (fractions 22–33). Fraction 24 was chromatographed on silica gel with n-hexane/acetone $(90:10 \rightarrow 0:100)$ to give 8 fractions (fractions 34–41). Fraction 36 was subjected to reversed-phase HPLC (70% MeOH $-H_2O$) to give lobophynin B (2) (3.3 mg, 0.00003%) and C (3) (7.1 mg, 0.00007%). Fraction 37 was purified by reversed-phase HPLC (70% MeOH-H₂O) to obtain lobophynin A (1) (2.2 mg, 0.00002%).

Lobophynin A (1): colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{22}_{D} - 22.9^{\circ}$ (*c* 0.12 CHCl₃); EIMS m/z [M]⁺ 346 (8), 328 (30), 321 (22), 303 (13), 286 (21), 268 (35), 243 (39), 225 (40), 185 (36), 159 (41), 145 (43), 133 (69), 121 (52), 81 (67), 69 (41), 55 (42); HR positive-ion FAB MS m/z 347.2585, calcd for C₂₂H₃₅O₃ [M + H]⁺ 347.2586; IR (CHCl₃) ν_{max} 3010, 1730, 1240, 910 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR, see Tables 1 and 2.

Lobophynin B (2): colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} + 122.6^{\circ}$ (*c* 0.13 CHCl₃); EIMS *m*/*z* [M]⁺ 360 (14), 342 (13), 318 (8), 300 (58), 282 (28), 175 (43), 161 (82), 148 (82), 133 (66), 119 (51), 93 (37), 81 (49), 69 (54), 55 (39); HR positive-ion FAB MS *m*/*z* 359.2223, calcd for C₂₂H₃₁O₄ [M - H]⁺ 359.2222;¹⁵ IR (CHCl₃) ν_{max} 3030, 1740, 1240, 910 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR, see Tables 1 and 2.

Lobophynin C (3): colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{25}_{\rm D}$ +109.3° (*c* 0.31 CHCl₃); EIMS m/z [M]⁺ 346 (21), 328 (25), 288 (11), 204 (42), 161 (35), 149 (82), 135 (89), 121 (67), 109 (61), 91 (100), 81 (35), 69 (35), 55 (29); HR positive-ion FAB MS m/z 345.2063, calcd for C₂₁H₂₉O₄ [M - H]⁺ 345.2066;¹⁶ IR (CHCl₃) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3030, 1710, 1240, 930 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR, see Tables 1 and 2.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Mr. Y. Imahara, Wakayama Prefectural Museum of Natural History, for the identification of *Lobophytum schoedei*. Thanks are also due to Dr. R. Isobe, Mr. Y. Tanaka, and Miss Y. Soeda of the faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyushu University, for the MS and ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR measurements. The present work was supported in part by two Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Nos. 3214 and 06453217) from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture, Japan, which are gratefully acknowledged.

References and Notes

- (a) Faulkner, D. J. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1984, 1, 551-598. (b) Faulkner, D. J. Ibid. 1986, 3, 1-33. (c) Faulkner, D. J. Ibid. 1987, 4, 539-576. (d) Faulkner, D. J. Ibid. 1988, 5, 613-147. (e) Faulkner, D. J. Ibid. 1990, 7, 269-309. (f) Faulkner, D. J. Ibid. 1991, 8, 97-147. (g) Faulkner, D. J. Ibid. 1992, 9, 323-364. (h) Faulkner, D. J. Ibid. 1993, 10, 497-539. (i) Faulkner, D. J. Ibid. 1994, 11, 355-394. (j) Faulkner, D. J. Ibid. 1995, 12, 223-269. (k) Faulkner, D. J. Ibid. 1996, 13, 75-125.
- (2) (a) Iguchi, K.; Kajiyama, K.; Miyaoka, H.; Yamada, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 5998-6000. (b) Iwagawa, T.; Masuda, T.; Okamura, H. Nakatani, M. Tetrahedron 1996, 41, 13121-13128.
 (c) Ochi, M.; Kataoka, K.; Tatsukawa, A.; Kotsuki, H.; Shibata, K. Heterocycles 1994, 1, 151-158.
- (3) Miyamoto, T.; Yamada, K.; Ikeda, N.; Komori, T.; Higuchi, R. J. Nat. Prod. 1994, 57, 1212–1219.
- (4) Miyamoto, T.; Takenaka,Y.; Yamada, K.; Higuchi, R. J. Nat. Prod. 1995, 58, 924–928.
- (5) Yamada, K.; Ogata, N.; Ryu, K.; Miyamoto, T.; Komori, T.; Higuchi, R. J. Nat. Prod., in press.
- (6) Kashman, Y.; Zadock, E.; Neeman, I. *Tetrahedron* **1974**, *30*, 3615–3620.
- (7) Bowden, B. F.; Coll, J. C.; Heaton, A.; Konig G. J. Nat. Prod. 1987, 50, 650–659.
- (8) Uchio, Y.; Toyota, J.; Nozaki, H.; Nakayama, M.; Nishizono, Y.; Hase, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4089–4092.
- (9) Ne'eman, I.; Fishelson, L.; Kashman, Y. Toxicon 1974, 12, 593– 598.
- (10) Kobayashi, J.; Ohizumi, Y.; Nakamura, H.; Yamakado, T.; Matsuzaki, T.; Hirata, Y. *Experientia* **1983**, *39*, 67–69.
- (11) Bernstein, J.; Shmueli, U.; Zadock, E.; Kashman, Y.; Ne'eman, I. *Tetrahedron* **1974**, *30*, 2817–2824.
- (12) Kashman, Y.; Groweiss, A. Tetrahedron Lett. **1977**, 13, 1159–1160.
- (13) Meyer, B. N.; Ferrigni, N. R.; Putnam, J. E.; Jacobson, L. B.; Nicols, D. E.; McLaughlin, J. L. *Planta Med.* **1982**, *45*, 31–34.
- (14) McLaughlin, J. L. In *Methods in Plant Biochemistry*, Hostettmann, K., Ed.; Academic Press: London, 1991; Vol. 6, pp 1–33.
- (15) We consider that it is because there is a difference in oxidationreduction potential between the specimen and the matrix that the [M - H]⁻ peak was shown in the positive-ion FAB mass spectrum.

NP960728M